UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/829,057	04/21/2004	Charles Norman Shaver	200314194-1	5954
	7590 01/07/200 CKARD COMPANY	EXAMINER		
P O BOX 2724	00, 3404 E. HARMON	SPITTLE, MATTHEW D		
INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY ADMINISTRATION FORT COLLINS, CO 80527-2400			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	,	2111		
•				
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			01/07/2008	ELECTRONIC

## Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

JERRY.SHORMA@HP.COM mkraft@hp.com ipa.mail@hp.com

1	η	γ	ĺ	

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/920 057	SHAVER ET AL.				
Notice of Abandonment	10/829,057 Examiner	Art Unit				
	AA-MbD C-iMb-	0444				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app	Matthew D. Spittle	2111				
- The MAILING DATE OF this communication app	rears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address.				
This application is abandoned in view of:						
<ul> <li>1. Applicant's failure to timely file a proper reply to the Office letter mailed on <u>20 April 2007</u>.</li> <li>(a) A reply was received on (with a Certificate of Mailing or Transmission dated), which is after the expiration of the period for reply (including a total extension of time of month(s)) which expired on</li> <li>(b) A proposed reply was received on, but it does not constitute a proper reply under 37 CFR 1.113 (a) to the final rejection.</li> </ul>						
(A proper reply under 37 CFR 1.113 to a final rejection consists only of: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114).						
(c) A reply was received on but it does not constitute a proper reply, or a bona fide attempt at a proper reply, to the non-final rejection. See 37 CFR 1.85(a) and 1.111. (See explanation in box 7 below).						
(d) 🛮 No reply has been received.						
<ul> <li>2. Applicant's failure to timely pay the required issue fee and publication fee, if applicable, within the statutory period of three months from the mailing date of the Notice of Allowance (PTOL-85).</li> <li>(a) The issue fee and publication fee, if applicable, was received on (with a Certificate of Mailing or Transmission dated), which is after the expiration of the statutory period for payment of the issue fee (and publication fee) set in the Notice of Allowance (PTOL-85).</li> </ul>						
(b) The submitted fee of \$ is insufficient. A balance		CED 4 40/d) in 6				
The issue fee required by 37 CFR 1.18 is \$ (c)  The issue fee and publication fee, if applicable, has no		CFK 1.10(d), is \$				
(c) The issue lee and publication lee, if applicable, has in	ot been received.					
Applicant's failure to timely file corrected drawings as requallowability (PTO-37).      Description of the corrected drawings as required to the corrected drawings as required to the corrected drawings are required.	-					
<ul> <li>(a) Proposed corrected drawings were received on</li> <li>after the expiration of the period for reply.</li> </ul>	_ (with a Certificate of Mailing of Traf	ismission dated				
(b) No corrected drawings have been received.						
<ol> <li>The letter of express abandonment which is signed by the attorney or agent of record, the assignee of the entire interest, or all of the applicants.</li> </ol>						
The letter of express abandonment which is signed by an attorney or agent (acting in a representative capacity under 37 CFR 1.34(a)) upon the filing of a continuing application.						
6. The decision by the Board of Patent Appeals and Interference rendered on and because the period for seeking court review of the decision has expired and there are no allowed claims.						
7. [★The reason(s) below:						
See altached PTOL-413 Interview	J. Summary					
Petitions to revive under 37 CFR 1.137(a) or (b), or requests to withdra	SUPERVISE aw the holding of abandonment breefs to	RK H. RINSHART HY PATENT EXAMINEMPTLY filed to				